

Top Research Priorities in Quantum Computing

Emir Sahin Hatay¹, Muhammed Golec^{2,3}, Hoa T. Nguyen⁴, Sukhpal Singh Gill⁵, Panos Patros⁶, Minxian Xu⁷, Manmeet Singh⁸, Omer Rana⁹, Ajith Abraham^{10,11}, Junaid Qadir¹², Soumya K. Ghosh¹³, Hanan Lutfiyya¹⁴, Rizos Sakellariou¹⁵, Salil S. Kanhere¹⁶, Rami Bahsoon¹⁷, Steve Uhlig², Ying Mao¹⁸, and Rajkumar Buyya⁴

¹School of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, University of Essex

²School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Queen Mary University of London

³School of Computer Engineering, Abdullah Gul University

⁴School of Computing and Information Systems, Cloud Computing and Distributed Systems (CLOUDS) Laboratory, The University of Melbourne

⁵Affiliation not available

⁶Raygun Performance Monitoring

⁷Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

⁸Jackson School of Geosciences, University of Texas at Austin

⁹School of Computer Science and Informatics, Cardiff University

¹⁰Bennett University

¹¹Machine Intelligence Research Labs

¹²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Qatar University

¹³Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology

¹⁴Department of Computer Science, University of Western Ontario

¹⁵Department of Computer Science, University of Manchester

¹⁶School of Computer Science and Engineering, The University of New South Wales (UNSW)

¹⁷School of Computer Science, University of Birmingham

¹⁸Department of Computer and Information Science, Fordham University

October 28, 2024

Abstract

Quantum computing is poised to revolutionize computational performance and capabilities, offering unprecedented efficiency in solving complex problems that surpasses classical approaches. This potential is particularly evident in fields such as optimization and AI. This chapter delves into critical priority areas in quantum computing, including the development and application of quantum software tools. We place a strong emphasis on reimagining the use of quantum computing for modeling and simulation, sensing, and secure communication. Furthermore, we explore current trends like quantum communications for 6G networks, quantum cloud and serverless computing, scalable qubit arrays, and the pursuit of robust and reliable quantum systems. Lastly, we address emerging research areas and the open challenges ahead, encompassing advancements in foundational theory, education, ethical and societal considerations, and pathways to commercialization.

Top Research Priorities in Quantum Computing

Emir Sahin Hatay^a, Muhammed Golec^{b,c}, Hoa T. Nguyen^d, Sukhpal Singh Gill^b, Panos Patros^e, Minxian Xu^f, Manmeet Singh^g, Omer Rana^h, Ajith Abraham^{i,j}, Junaid Qadir^k, Soumya K. Ghosh^l, Hanan Lutfiyya^m, Rizos Sakellariouⁿ, Salil S. Kanhere^o, Rami Bahsoon^p, Steve Uhlig^b, Ying Mao^q and Rajkumar Buyya^d

^aSchool of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, University of Essex, United Kingdom,

^bSchool of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom,

^cSchool of Computer Engineering, Abdullah Gul University, Kayseri, Turkey,

^dCloud Computing and Distributed Systems (CLOUDS) Laboratory, School of Computing and Information Systems, The University of Melbourne, Australia,

^eRaygun Performance Monitoring, Wellington, New Zealand,

^fShenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen, China,

^gJackson School of Geosciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA,

^hSchool of Computer Science and Informatics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK,

ⁱBennett University, Greater Noida, India,

^jMachine Intelligence Research Labs, Auburn, WA, USA,

^kDepartment of Computer Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar,

^lDepartment of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India,

^mDepartment of Computer Science, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada,

ⁿDepartment of Computer Science, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, UK,

^oSchool of Computer Science and Engineering, The University of New South Wales (UNSW), Sydney, Australia,

^pSchool of Computer Science, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK,

^qDepartment of Computer and Information Science, Fordham University, New York City, USA,

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Quantum Computing
Quantum Artificial Intelligence
Quantum Machine Learning
Research Priorities
Quantum Secure Communication
Quantum Cloud Computing
Interdisciplinary Research

ABSTRACT

Quantum computing is poised to revolutionize computational performance and capabilities, offering unprecedented efficiency in solving complex problems that surpasses classical approaches. This potential is particularly evident in fields such as optimization and AI. This chapter delves into critical priority areas in quantum computing, including the development and application of quantum software tools. We place a strong emphasis on reimagining the use of quantum computing for modeling and simulation, sensing, and secure communication. Furthermore, we explore current trends like quantum communications for 6G networks, quantum cloud and serverless computing, scalable qubit arrays, and the pursuit of robust and reliable quantum systems. Lastly, we address emerging research areas and the open challenges ahead, encompassing advancements in foundational theory, education, ethical and societal considerations, and pathways to commercialization.

1. Quantum Innovation Revolution

Quantum computing stands at the forefront of a technological revolution, leveraging quantum mechanics to achieve unprecedented gains in processing power [1, 2]. Unlike classical computers, which rely on binary bits, quantum computers use qubits that can exist in superposition, enabling them to process vast amounts of data simultaneously [3]. This transformative capability positions quantum computing as a key player in tackling problems that are intractable for classical computers [4]. The immense potential of quantum computing to revolutionize various domains of science,

*Correspondence to: School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Queen Mary University of London, London, E1 4NS, UK.

✉ eh22360@essex.ac.uk (E.S. Hatay); m.golec@qmul.ac.uk (M. Golec); thanhhoan@student.unimelb.edu.au (H.T. Nguyen); s.s.gill@qmul.ac.uk (S.S. Gill); panos@raygun.com (P. Patros); mx.xu@siat.ac.cn (M. Xu); manmeet.singh@utexas.edu (M. Singh); ranaof@cardiff.ac.uk (O. Rana); ajith.abraham@ieee.org (A. Abraham); jqadir@qu.edu.qa (J. Qadir); skg@cse.iitkgp.ac.in (S.K. Ghosh); hanan@csd.uwo.ca (H. Lutfiyya); rizos@manchester.ac.uk (R. Sakellariou); salil.kanhere@unsw.edu.au (S.S. Kanhere); r.bahsoon@cs.bham.ac.uk (R. Bahsoon); steve.uhlig@qmul.ac.uk (S. Uhlig); ymao41@fordham.edu (Y. Mao); rbuyya@unimelb.edu.au (R. Buyya)

ORCID(s):

Top Research Priorities in Quantum Computing

technology, and industry has sparked widespread global interest [5, 6]. As the limitations of classical computing in managing complex, large-scale problems become increasingly evident, the demand for more powerful computational techniques has never been more urgent.

The development of quantum algorithms, frameworks, and software tools extends beyond theoretical research, serving as a practical necessity for addressing real-world challenges in fields such as artificial intelligence (AI), optimization, and cryptography [7]. Figure 1 highlights the top research priorities in quantum computing as explored in this chapter.



Figure 1: Top Research Priorities in Quantum Computing

2. Redefining Quantum Research Priorities: Unleashing Potential

Guided by the belief that quantum technologies are pivotal for future scientific breakthroughs and technological progress, this chapter underscores the potential of quantum computing to tackle complex challenges and revolutionize diverse fields.

2.1. Innovations in Quantum Software and Technology

Quantum algorithms, grounded in the principles of quantum physics, exploit phenomena such as entanglement and superposition to perform computations [8]. Although quantum computers are still in development, they harness these quantum phenomena to achieve significant computational advantages [9]. Quantum computing offers exponential speedup for specific classes of problems, particularly those solvable in bounded-error quantum polynomial time (BQP), such as integer factorization with Shor's algorithm and unstructured search with Grover's algorithm [10]. While not providing universal speedup across all problem types, identifying use cases that benefit from quantum speedup could profoundly impact various fields, including software, simulation, hardware discovery, logistics, finance, healthcare, and climate change [11]. As quantum computing technology continues to advance, it promises to solve problems that are currently beyond the reach of classical computing due to its inherent limitations [12]. However, the development of quantum computers remains challenged by high costs and complexity [13]. Platforms like IBM Quantum offer access to actual quantum hardware, enabling users to test, simulate, and create quantum algorithms. To accelerate quantum computing research and development, specialized tools are essential for quantum application designers, enabling the creation, execution, and simulation of quantum applications.

2.2. Quantum Leap in AI

Quantum computing is poised to catalyze a monumental leap forward in artificial intelligence (AI) [14]. By integrating quantum computing principles with AI, it becomes possible to enhance AI algorithms and tackle problems that are too complex for classical computers [15, 16]. The capability of quantum computers to process large datasets with remarkable efficiency significantly enhances machine learning (ML) tasks such as data classification, regression, and clustering [17]. Quantum Machine Learning (QML), a rapidly emerging interdisciplinary field at the intersection of quantum computing and advanced AI technologies, holds the promise of revolutionizing sectors like finance, healthcare, and logistics in the near future.

2.2.1. Quantum Machine Learning (QML)

QML is a burgeoning research area that merges quantum mechanics with classical machine learning. As a hybrid quantum-classical data analysis approach, QML seeks to amplify the computational power and performance of traditional ML techniques by leveraging quantum algorithms and technology [18]. Although many algorithms in this field are still theoretical, QML is seen as a complementary accelerator with the potential to outperform classical ML due to its ability to learn from smaller datasets [19]. This capability makes QML particularly promising for applications such as image-based medical diagnosis [20], healthcare, logistics, and climate research, all of which involve complex and large datasets.

Despite its promise, QML faces significant software and hardware challenges that present unique research opportunities. IBM's Qiskit, a platform designed for practical quantum computing applications, integrates quantum computing with machine learning to enhance problem-solving efficiency. It allows for the design of quantum circuits that represent quantum models, including quantum gates, measurement, and entanglement operations [21]. Hybrid models in quantum machine learning, which combine quantum and classical computing, are particularly effective. In Qiskit, these models use quantum circuits for specific parts of the ML workflow while relying on classical processing for others. A crucial aspect of QML is quantum data encoding, which transforms classical inputs into quantum states that quantum algorithms can process [17]. Qiskit supports various encoding techniques, such as angle encoding, basis encoding, and amplitude encoding, depending on the data structure and the specific requirements of the quantum machine learning task.

2.2.2. Quantum Machine Intelligence

Quantum machine intelligence, a fundamental component of machine learning and artificial intelligence, holds significant potential for industrial applications such as energy extraction, finance, healthcare treatment planning, logistics, drug design, and navigation [22]. By integrating optimization with quantum computing, the analysis and

processing of vast, unstructured, or highly complex datasets can be significantly accelerated compared to classical technologies, streamlining the path to actionable insights.

2.3. Scaling Quantum Modeling and Simulations

Quantum simulation, which leverages quantum mechanical systems to simulate other quantum systems, offers a more efficient approach than classical physics-based systems for solving complex problems in physics, biology, basic science, and materials research [23]. The inherent complexity of quantum mechanics makes it virtually impossible to accurately calculate these properties on classical computers, particularly for systems involving more than 30 particles. Although quantum computers theoretically have the capability to solve these problems, the limitations in qubit quality and quantity on Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum (NISQ) machines often hinder practical implementation [24]. Experimentally determining these properties is also challenging due to the difficulty of manipulating many quantum systems. This is where quantum simulators demonstrate their true value—acting as quantum devices that, while similar to the systems of interest, are easier to manipulate. These small-scale quantum simulators can achieve computational power equivalent to approximately 100 qubits [25]. By utilizing the principles of superposition and entanglement, quantum simulators advance the development of quantum computing. They enable researchers to explore interactions among various parameters in ways that are impossible with classical computers [26]. However, to perform complex and large-scale operations, particularly in chemistry, quantum simulators must enhance and scale up their simulations [17]. This scaling is typically accomplished through analog methods, although digital quantum simulation is also a viable approach.

2.3.1. Analog Quantum Simulation

Analog quantum simulation, which manipulates quantum information using continuous variables, is based on constructing analog devices that mimic quantum systems interacting under specific physical conditions and limited accessibility [27]. Compared to digital gate-based quantum computers, where qubit quality requirements are more stringent, analog devices facilitate the easier construction of quantum simulators with a larger number of qubits. However, a limitation of analog electronics is their lack of quantum error correction capabilities [28]. Analog quantum simulation, operating on continuous quantum states, is particularly effective in areas such as optimization, complex networks, and quantum system modeling.

2.3.2. Digital Quantum Simulation

Digital quantum simulation involves a programmable approach that approximates target dynamics using unitary gate components derived from the Hamiltonian of quantum processes [29]. This method allows for the execution of general quantum and quantum dynamics processing through sequential, differential, and parallel gates [30]. Unlike analog simulations, digital quantum simulations are constructed from discrete, gate-based operations, offering flexibility and precision in simulating a wide range of quantum phenomena.

2.4. Next-Generation Quantum Sensing

Quantum sensing and metrology harness the extreme sensitivity of quantum systems to measure physical properties such as magnetic fields, electric fields, gravity, and temperature with unprecedented precision [31]. These sensors excel in detecting changes and enhancing spatial resolution, making them invaluable for applications like motion detection, positioning systems, field measurements, microscopy, and advanced communication. With features like coherence and atomic-scale precision, quantum sensors are set to become indispensable tools across various fields [32]. By leveraging quantum mechanics phenomena such as quantum state compression and quantum interference, quantum sensors can optimize sensitivity and analyze data at the atomic level, providing information with far greater detail than classical physics, which typically generates data from collections of atoms [33]. This capability significantly enhances the accuracy, productivity, and efficiency of modern technological equipment [29]. Quantum sensing is also employed in devices like atomic clocks and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, which are critical for determining the chemical and physical properties of molecules and atoms [34].

2.4.1. Quantum Magnetometers

Instruments like nitrogen-vacancy (NV)-diamond magnetometers, equipped with user-friendly software for analysis and data acquisition, offer high sensitivity in detecting magnetic fields [35]. These tools are particularly useful in material science and medical imaging applications, such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [36].

2.4.2. *Quantum Gravimeters*

Quantum gravimeters measure gravitational fields with high precision using free-falling atoms as test masses. These instruments are invaluable for detecting underground structures and conducting geophysical research [37]. They combine the best features of relative and classical absolute gravimeters by utilizing quantum mechanical techniques, and their portability makes them especially useful in field conditions [38].

2.5. Quantum Secure Communication and Networking

The emergence of quantum technologies represents a significant breakthrough in cryptography, paving the way for the Quantum Internet and advanced networking [39]. In today's digital age, there is a growing need for highly secure methods of data integrity and information transfer [40]. Quantum cryptography, a branch of computer science and mathematics, applies the principles of quantum mechanics to cryptographic tasks, ensuring secure communication and data protection [41]. Unlike traditional cryptography, which relies on discrete logarithms and integer factorization, quantum cryptography leverages physical principles like the no-cloning theorem and Heisenberg's uncertainty principle to achieve security [17]. The rise of quantum computers threatens to undermine conventional encryption schemes like RSA (Rivest-Shamir-Adleman) and ECC (Elliptic Curve Cryptography), as they can potentially break these schemes in polynomial time using Shor's algorithm [42]. Quantum cryptography, however, offers a robust defense by exploiting quantum entanglement and other fundamental quantum principles [43].

2.5.1. *Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)*

Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) is the most prominent application of quantum cryptography, using quantum mechanics to securely distribute keys between two parties [44]. Key generation relies on quantum features like polarization, phase, and entanglement. QKD's exceptional security stems from its ability to detect any attempts to compromise the key [45]. Although QKD is highly secure, its operational range is limited as keys can only be transmitted over relatively short distances.

2.5.2. *Quantum Digital Signature (QDS)*

Quantum Digital Signatures (QDS) are quantum cryptography protocols that use quantum mechanics to create unforgeable digital signatures [46]. QDS ensures the integrity and authenticity of signed digital documents, providing strong assurances that any attempt to alter the document or forge the signature will be detected [47]. While current QDS implementations face challenges in efficiency and practicality, they have no fundamental restrictions on the size of documents that can be signed [46].

2.5.3. *Quantum Random Number Generator (QRNG)*

Quantum Random Number Generators (QRNGs) utilize quantum mechanics to produce truly random numbers [48]. Unlike classical random number generators, which are based on physical processes or algorithms that can often be replicated or predicted, QRNGs generate genuinely random numbers by exploiting the inherent unpredictability of quantum phenomena [49]. The exceptional security of QRNGs arises from the truly random nature of the numbers they produce, often performing faster by leveraging photonics [50].

2.5.4. *Quantum Secret Sharing (QSS)*

Quantum Secret Sharing (QSS) is a robust quantum cryptography protocol that enhances durability and security beyond traditional secret sharing methods [51]. QSS extends classical secret sharing by securely distributing secrets among multiple parties using the unique principles of quantum mechanics. Any attempt to interfere with the secret can be detected, making QSS a highly secure encryption protocol [52]. While QSS is currently limited by the number of participants, it can be scaled to include more participants, albeit with increased protocol complexity. Typically, QSS uses a threshold scheme, requiring a minimum number of participants to reconstruct the secret. QSS has immense potential for applications in distributed secure storage, cryptographic key management, and secure multi-party computation [53].

2.5.5. *Quantum Computing for Future 6G Networks*

Quantum computing is poised to play a critical role in enabling future 6G networks by advancing areas such as data processing, enhanced security, and optimized network management [54]. 6G networks are expected to support high data rate applications and a vast ecosystem of connected devices, including the Internet of Things (IoT) [55]. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) can provide virtually unbreakable encryption, ensuring secure communications in

6G networks against emerging cyber threats. Additionally, quantum machine learning and data analytics will contribute to the evolution of 6G, enhancing capabilities like computing and content caching [56]. The ongoing integration of quantum computing research with classical communication infrastructures is paving the way for a new era of efficient, high-performance, and ultra-secure global communication networks [57].

2.6. Quantum Cloud and Serverless Computing

Quantum cloud computing is an emerging paradigm that leverages cloud infrastructure to make quantum processing capabilities accessible as cloud-based services [58]. Within this domain, quantum serverless computing has gained attention by abstracting infrastructure management complexities and allowing developers to focus solely on application logic. This model, operating on a pay-per-use basis, offers scalability and cost-efficiency, exemplified by frameworks like Qiskit Serverless [59], which enables the seamless execution of hybrid quantum-classical workloads within IBM Quantum Cloud resources. Holistic frameworks like Quantum Function-as-a-Service (QFaaS) [60] support various quantum software development kits and integrate with multiple quantum computing services, promoting interoperability and flexibility. These frameworks utilize cloud-native and advanced software engineering technologies to efficiently orchestrate and execute quantum tasks across diverse quantum devices.

In addition to serverless models, quantum cloud computing research includes developing hybrid quantum-classical systems that optimize resource usage for solving complex problems [12]. Middleware architectures [61] facilitate the integration of quantum and classical computations, enhancing performance and efficiency. Quantum cloud security, particularly through Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) [62], remains a pivotal area for protecting the quantum cloud ecosystem from quantum-specific attack vectors. Effective quantum cloud resource management is also crucial, especially given the current limitations in accessing cloud-based NISQ devices. Toolkits like iQuantum [63] help model and simulate quantum cloud environments, aiding in the development and evaluation of resource management algorithms. Furthermore, the emerging field of Quantum Machine Learning Operations (QML Ops) integrates machine learning workflows with DevOps practices, enhancing the development and deployment of quantum machine learning applications. Through these approaches, quantum cloud computing is set to address a wide range of computational challenges, driving significant advancements in both quantum and classical domains.

2.7. Scalable Qubit Arrays for Quantum Computation

The future of quantum computing is being shaped by advancements in qubit quality, scalability, and the development of new qubit technologies [64]. Qubit quality, characterized by long coherence times and low error rates, is essential for reliable quantum systems, while scalability—the ability to increase the number of qubits without compromising performance—is critical for practical applications [65]. Achieving scalability involves overcoming challenges such as efficient qubit interconnection and implementing effective error correction techniques. Current technologies, without scalable solutions, limit quantum computing’s potential to solve complex and large-scale problems [66]. To address these challenges, new qubit technologies are emerging, including silicon-based qubits for easy scaling, topological qubits with inherent error resistance, superconducting qubits known for high performance, photonic qubits leveraging low interaction with the environment, trapped ion qubits with long coherence times, and neutral atom qubits capable of manipulating large qubit arrays in optical lattices [67].

2.8. Robust and Reliable Quantum Computing

At the core of robust quantum computing is the development of qubits with high accuracy, long coherence times, and reduced susceptibility to environmental noise [68]. Quantum Error Correction (QEC) is crucial, employing redundant qubits, error correction codes, and gate compilation strategies to detect and correct errors without collapsing the quantum state. Techniques like Shor’s code, which encodes one logical qubit into nine physical qubits, ensure that quantum information remains intact [69]. Noise mitigation methods, such as probabilistic error cancellation and zero-noise extrapolation, also help minimize errors during quantum computations without the full overhead of QEC [70]. These approaches are vital for achieving reliable and accurate quantum computations, which are essential for advancing practical quantum applications [71].

2.9. Theoretical Advancements

Theoretical advancements in quantum computing are crucial for unlocking its full potential and addressing the limitations of classical computation. By delving into these foundational aspects of the Theory of Quantum Computation, researchers can make groundbreaking discoveries for practical applications [72]. Future research in quantum computing can explore several key areas:

- **Identifying More BQP Problems:** Researchers are actively searching for more problems that fall into the BQP class and could benefit from quantum speedup [73].
- **Complexity Class Relationships:** Understanding the relationships between complexity classes, such as whether P equals NP or how BQP relates to NP, remains a fundamental question in theoretical quantum computing [74].
- **Quantum Algorithms for NP-Complete Problems:** Investigating the potential of quantum algorithms to solve NP-complete problems more efficiently than classical algorithms is a promising area of research [75].
- **Error Correction and Decoherence:** Developing robust quantum error correction methods and mitigating decoherence are critical for practical quantum computing [76].

2.10. Interdisciplinary Research

Interdisciplinary research in quantum computing is essential for exploring its full potential and uncovering novel applications across various fields [72]. By integrating quantum computing with other disciplines, researchers can address complex problems that are otherwise intractable with classical approaches.

- **Quantum Biology:** Exploring the role of quantum mechanics in biological processes, such as photosynthesis, enzyme catalysis, protein folding, and avian navigation, can lead to breakthroughs in understanding life at the molecular level [77]. Quantum computing can model these processes with unprecedented accuracy.
- **Quantum Economics:** Quantum algorithms can optimize complex financial models, enhance market predictions, and improve risk management strategies [78]. This integration can revolutionize economic theories and practices, providing robust solutions to financial challenges.
- **Quantum Social Sciences:** Applying quantum computing to social sciences can help in analyzing large datasets, modelling complex social networks, and understanding human behavior [79]. This can lead to more effective policies for the common good and interventions in areas such as public health, education, or urban planning.
- **Quantum Chemistry and Materials Science:** Quantum simulations can predict the properties of new materials and chemical compounds with high precision. This can accelerate the discovery of advanced materials and pharmaceuticals, impacting industries like manufacturing, energy, and healthcare [80].
- **Quantum for LLMs:** The integration of Large Language Models (LLMs), which falls under deep learning and natural language processing paradigms, with quantum computing can efficiently increase the model performance of LLMs and substantially reduce training times [81]. For instance, quantum algorithms can speed up the processing of massive datasets and matrix operations, such as quantum linear algebra routines. Neural networks can also improve the accuracy of predictive models and enhance natural language processing [82]. Additionally, quantum computing can optimize procedures by finding better minima for loss functions much faster than classical methods. These improvements could result in LLMs that are faster, more effective, and able to comprehend language at a deeper level as quantum computing technology develops.
- **Quantum Healthcare:** Quantum computing holds the potential to revolutionize healthcare by enabling more efficient drug design and discovery [83], personalized medicine and treatment, rapid whole genome sequencing and analysis, protein folding analysis, advanced medical imaging, optimized healthcare operations, and secure data management [84]. Additionally, quantum computing can enhance the application of healthcare by improving the connectivity and functionality of medical devices that are connected to the Internet or the cloud [17]. For all of its promise, though, the impact of quantum computing on healthcare remains vastly undiscovered [85].
- **Quantum Finance:** Quantum computing has a great potential in the financial industry by enabling the efficient handling of complex calculations and large-scale simulations [86]. Financial modelling and research often involve solving intricate mathematical problems, such as option pricing, risk assessment, and asset valuation, which require significant computational resources. Quantum algorithms, like the Variational Quantum Eigensolver and Quantum Amplitude Estimation, can tackle these challenges more effectively than classical algorithms [87]. For instance, Quantum Amplitude Estimation can enhance the speed and accuracy of Monte Carlo simulations, which are widely used in pricing complex derivatives and assessing financial risk [88].

This enhanced capability allows financial institutions to model various market scenarios and optimize their strategies with greater precision and confidence. Quantum computing also offers advantages in specific financial applications, such as optimizing high-frequency trading strategies and improving credit scoring models [89]. Quantum algorithms can rapidly process vast amounts of data and identify profitable trading opportunities in real-time, enabling traders to make quicker and more informed decisions. In credit scoring, quantum machine learning algorithms can enhance the accuracy of credit risk models by analyzing large datasets with numerous variables, providing more reliable assessments of borrowers' creditworthiness [90]. Furthermore, quantum computing can improve stress testing and scenario analysis, which are crucial for financial institutions to evaluate their resilience against economic shocks and market fluctuations [91]. By leveraging these advanced capabilities, quantum computing can drive innovation and efficiency in financial modelling, leading to more robust and agile financial systems.

- **Quantum Geoscience:** Quantum computing offers transformative potential for advancing geoscience research, particularly in areas that require processing large datasets and complex simulations. One promising direction is climate modelling and weather prediction, where quantum computers can utilize algorithms like Quantum Fourier Transform and Quantum Phase Estimation to simulate atmospheric processes efficiently [92]. These algorithms enable the handling of vast amounts of data, potentially leading to more accurate forecasts of weather patterns and extreme events, which are crucial for disaster preparedness and climate-change adaptation. Additionally, quantum computing can enhance seismic data analysis using algorithms such as the Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm, improving the accuracy of models used to predict earthquakes and understand subsurface geological structures [93]. These advancements could result in better risk assessments and early warning systems, ultimately aiding in disaster risk reduction. Another significant area where quantum computing can impact geoscience is in resource exploration and environmental management. Quantum algorithms can optimize the search for natural resources like minerals, oil, and gas by solving complex problems related to resource allocation and extraction processes [94]. This optimization can lead to more efficient exploration strategies and minimize environmental impacts. Furthermore, quantum simulations using algorithms like Variational Quantum Eigensolver can aid in designing advanced materials and processes for carbon capture and storage, a critical component in efforts to mitigate climate change [95]. By improving the efficiency and effectiveness of these technologies, quantum computing can play a vital role in the sustainable management of Earth's resources and the protection of the environment.

2.11. Quantum Multiverses

An intriguing area of quantum computing is its relationship with parallel universes. Based on the Many-Worlds Interpretation of quantum mechanics, the idea of quantum multiverses postulates that the universe splits into numerous alternative universes with each quantum event [96]. The correlation between quantum computing and parallel universes, which comes from the quantum superposition hermeneutic, is a research topic of increasing interest. The connection between quantum computing and parallel universes, which is both scientific and intensely philosophical, is the interpretation that when a quantum calculation is performed, it is as if the calculation is being performed in many universes simultaneously, with each universe contributing to the outcome [97]. However, although the idea of quantum parallel universes is a valuable and impressive metaphor for understanding the intuitive world of quantum computing and quantum mechanics, it remains outside the realm of experimental science because it cannot be tested in today's universe [98].

2.12. Education and Workforce Development

Education and workforce development are vital to preparing researchers and professionals in the rapidly evolving field of quantum computing, which helps in producing the next generation engineers and innovators [99]. Training programs provide both theoretical knowledge and applied learning experiences in quantum algorithms, quantum mechanics, and quantum hardware [100]. These programs, offered by various research institutions, universities, and occasionally online courses, involve interdisciplinary collaboration, bringing together expertise in physics, mathematics, computer science, and engineering to provide a holistic understanding of quantum technology [101]. In encouraging such comprehensive educational frameworks and collaborative efforts, a skilled workforce can be cultivated capable of addressing the complex challenges of quantum computing and fostering innovation [102].

2.13. Ethical and Societal Implications

In light of the rapid proliferation of the technology, the ethical and societal implications of quantum computing require rigorous evaluation [103]. Given the potential for quantum computers to break existing encryption methods at high levels with high speed, sensitive ethical issues such as data privacy, security and the potential for unequal access to quantum resources are becoming increasingly important [104]. It is important to build solidly grounded frameworks to ensure equal access to quantum resources and to ensure that quantum advances serve society equitably [78]. Addressing these ethical concerns and ensuring that the technology is developed and applied ethically can be accomplished by involving various stakeholders in conversations about the societal implications of quantum computing, such as legislators, ethicists, and the general public [105]. Educational initiatives and transparent communication can emphasize the role of ethical standards in quantum computing, raising public awareness about its impact and potential [106]. These efforts promote responsible decision-making, demystify quantum technologies, and foster informed communication.

2.14. Standardization and Collaboration

Standardization, which is necessary for reliability and compatibility between different quantum platforms and systems, and international collaboration, which is needed for the integration and development of quantum technologies, occupy an eminent place in quantum computing [107]. Bringing together scientists, researchers, and industry leaders from around the world, international collaborations bring together diverse expertise and contribute to the creation and achievement of comprehensive standards and protocols that are universally accepted [108]. These comprehensively defined standards greatly facilitate the integration of quantum components and ensure that the required performance is realized [109]. Through a collaborative approach between academia and industry, we can foster an international collaboration community to overcome the many challenges in quantum computing and accelerate innovative developments in a fair and responsible manner [110].

2.15. Enabling the Quantum Computing Business Potential

Unlocking and activating the business potential of quantum computing requires a multifaceted set of factors, including extensive research, industry-wide collaboration, investment, and strategic initiatives [65]. Organizations will certainly benefit in the future from investing in quantum research and development to pioneer, develop, and solve innovative solutions that address specific real-world challenges, such as optimizing supply chains, materials science, enhancing cyber security, accelerating drug design and discovery, and complex simulations [111]. Corporations can create new market opportunities and gain competitive advantage by exploring and capitalizing on the inimitable capabilities of quantum computing, in particular, the ability to solve complex problems at speeds considered to be impossible with classical computers [112].

3. Final Remarks

This research highlights the key priorities in quantum technology, focusing on the development and practical applications of quantum software tools. We particularly emphasize the need to reassess the role of quantum computing in enhancing modeling and simulation, sensing, and secure communication. Key areas of exploration include the integration of quantum communications within 6G networks, the application of quantum cloud computing, the development of scalable qubit arrays, and the advancement of robust and reliable quantum technologies. We also underscore the importance of identifying emerging research areas and addressing unresolved challenges, including advancements in foundational principles, educational outreach, ethical and social implications, and the commercialization of quantum innovations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Muhammed Golec would like to express his thanks to the Ministry of Education of the Turkish Republic for its support and funding.

References

- [1] C. Singh, "Helping students learn quantum mechanics for quantum computing," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 883, pp. 42–45, American Institute of Physics, 2007.

- [2] E. Grumbling and M. Horowitz, eds., *Quantum Computing: Progress and Prospects*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2019. Report of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine.
- [3] C. Wang, X. Li, H. Xu, Z. Li, J. Wang, Z. Yang, Z. Mi, X. Liang, T. Su, C. Yang, *et al.*, “Towards practical quantum computers: Transmon qubit with a lifetime approaching 0.5 milliseconds,” *npj Quantum Information*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 3, 2022.
- [4] J. Eisert and M. M. Wolf, “Quantum computing,” in *Handbook of nature-inspired and innovative computing: Integrating classical models with emerging technologies*, pp. 253–286, Springer, 2006.
- [5] M. Golec, E. S. Hatay, M. Golec, M. Uyar, M. Golec, and S. S. Gill, “Quantum cloud computing: Trends and challenges,” *Journal of Economy and Technology*, 2024.
- [6] R. Ur Rasool, H. F. Ahmad, W. Rafique, A. Qayyum, J. Qadir, and Z. Anwar, “Quantum computing for healthcare: A review,” *Future Internet*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 94, 2023.
- [7] S. S. Gill, O. Cetinkaya, S. Marrone, *et al.*, “Quantum computing: Vision and challenges,” *Technical Report: arXiv:2403.02240*, 2024.
- [8] D. Bouwmeester and A. Zeilinger, “The physics of quantum information: basic concepts,” in *The physics of quantum information: quantum cryptography, quantum teleportation, quantum computation*, pp. 1–14, Springer, 2000.
- [9] T. D. Ladd, F. Jelezko, R. Laflamme, Y. Nakamura, C. Monroe, and J. L. O’Brien, “Quantum computers,” *nature*, vol. 464, no. 7285, pp. 45–53, 2010.
- [10] T. Liu, J.-G. Liu, and H. Fan, “Probabilistic nonunitary gate in imaginary time evolution,” *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 20, no. 6, p. 204, 2021.
- [11] A. Kumar, S. S. Gill, and A. Abraham, *Quantum and blockchain for modern computing systems: vision and advancements*. Springer, 2022.
- [12] S. S. Gill, “Quantum and blockchain based serverless edge computing: A vision, model, new trends and future directions,” *Internet Technology Letters*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. e275, 2024.
- [13] Y. Li, P. C. Humphreys, G. J. Mendoza, and S. C. Benjamin, “Resource costs for fault-tolerant linear optical quantum computing,” *Physical Review X*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 041007, 2015.
- [14] M. Ying, “Quantum computation, quantum theory and ai,” *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 174, no. 2, pp. 162–176, 2010.
- [15] V. Dunjko and H. J. Briegel, “Machine learning & artificial intelligence in the quantum domain: a review of recent progress,” *Reports on Progress in Physics*, vol. 81, no. 7, p. 074001, 2018.
- [16] M. Singh, C. Dhara, *et al.*, “Quantum artificial intelligence for the science of climate change,” in *Artificial Intelligence, machine learning and blockchain in quantum satellite, drone and network*, pp. 199–207, CRC Press, 2022.
- [17] S. S. Gill and R. Buyya, “Transforming research with quantum computing,” *Journal of Economy and Technology*, 2024.
- [18] F. Hu, B.-N. Wang, N. Wang, and C. Wang, “Quantum machine learning with d-wave quantum computer,” *Quantum Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. e12, 2019.
- [19] C. Ciliberto, M. Herbster, A. D. Ialongo, M. Pontil, A. Rocchetto, S. Severini, and L. Wossnig, “Quantum machine learning: a classical perspective,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 474, no. 2209, p. 20170551, 2018.
- [20] M. Rodrigues, M. Mayo, and P. Patros, “Surgical tool datasets for machine learning research: a survey,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 130, no. 9, pp. 2222–2248, 2022.
- [21] S. S. Gill, A. Kumar, H. Singh, M. Singh, K. Kaur, M. Usman, and R. Buyya, “Quantum computing: A taxonomy, systematic review and future directions,” *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 66–114, 2022.
- [22] J. Cui, Y. Xiong, S. X. Ng, and L. Hanzo, “Quantum approximate optimization algorithm based maximum likelihood detection,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 70, no. 8, pp. 5386–5400, 2022.
- [23] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and F. Nori, “Quantum simulation,” *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 153–185, 2014.
- [24] M. AbuGhanem and H. Eleuch, “Nisq computers: a path to quantum supremacy,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01431*, 2023.
- [25] M. Morgado and S. Whitlock, “Quantum simulation and computing with rydberg-interacting qubits,” *AVS Quantum Science*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2021.
- [26] S. S. Gill, H. Wu, P. Patros, C. Ottaviani, P. Arora, V. C. Pujol, D. Haunschild, A. K. Parlikad, O. Cetinkaya, H. Lutfiyya, *et al.*, “Modern computing: Vision and challenges,” *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, vol. 13, p. 100116, 2024.
- [27] S. Jin and N. Liu, “Analog quantum simulation of partial differential equations,” *Quantum Science and Technology*, 2023.
- [28] C. Ostrove, B. La Cour, A. Lanham, and G. Ott, “Improving performance of an analog electronic device using quantum error correction,” *Journal of Physics Communications*, vol. 3, no. 8, p. 085017, 2019.
- [29] D. DeMille, N. R. Hutzler, A. M. Rey, and T. Zelevinsky, “Quantum sensing and metrology for fundamental physics with molecules,” *Nature Physics*, pp. 1–9, 2024.
- [30] L. Pastori, T. Olsacher, C. Kokail, and P. Zoller, “Characterization and verification of trotterized digital quantum simulation via hamiltonian and liouvillian learning,” *PRX Quantum*, vol. 3, no. 3, p. 030324, 2022.
- [31] N. Aslam, H. Zhou, E. K. Urbach, M. J. Turner, R. L. Walsworth, M. D. Lukin, and H. Park, “Quantum sensors for biomedical applications,” *Nature Reviews Physics*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 157–169, 2023.
- [32] C. L. Degen, F. Reinhard, and P. Cappellaro, “Quantum sensing,” *Reviews of modern physics*, vol. 89, no. 3, p. 035002, 2017.
- [33] D. Kim, M. I. Ibrahim, C. Foy, M. E. Trusheim, R. Han, and D. R. Englund, “A cmos-integrated quantum sensor based on nitrogen–vacancy centres,” *Nature Electronics*, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 284–289, 2019.
- [34] M. Raparhi, “Quantum sensing technologies for biomedical applications: Investigating the advancements and challenges,” *Journal of Computational Intelligence and Robotics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 21–32, 2022.
- [35] A. K. Tan, H. Jani, M. Högen, L. Stefan, C. Castelnuovo, D. Braund, A. Geim, A. Mechnich, M. S. Feuer, H. S. Knowles, *et al.*, “Revealing emergent magnetic charge in an antiferromagnet with diamond quantum magnetometry,” *Nature Materials*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 205–211, 2024.
- [36] I. Hrvoic, G. M. Hollyer, and P. Eng, “Brief review of quantum magnetometers,” *GEM Systems Technical Papers*, 2005.
- [37] H. J. Ryoo, “Quantum gravimeters in space: An optimization approach,” in *AIAA SCITECH 2023 Forum*, p. 1119, 2023.

- [38] A. Bhardwaj, A. Sharma, and A. Acharya, "Development of absolute quantum gravimeter at tcg crest india," in *2024 4th URSI Atlantic Radio Science Meeting (AT-RASC)*, pp. 1–4, IEEE, 2024.
- [39] D. Pan, G.-L. Long, L. Yin, Y.-B. Sheng, D. Ruan, S. X. Ng, J. Lu, and L. Hanzo, "The evolution of quantum secure direct communication: on the road to the qinternet," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2024.
- [40] S. Ghosh, M. Zaman, R. Joshi, and S. Sampalli, "Multi-phase quantum resistant framework for secure communication in scada systems," *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, 2024.
- [41] C. H. Bennett, F. Bessette, G. Brassard, L. Salvail, and J. Smolin, "Experimental quantum cryptography," *Journal of cryptology*, vol. 5, pp. 3–28, 1992.
- [42] M. Bafandehkar, S. M. Yasin, R. Mahmod, and Z. M. Hanapi, "Comparison of ecc and rsa algorithm in resource constrained devices," in *2013 international conference on IT convergence and security (ICITCS)*, pp. 1–3, IEEE, 2013.
- [43] H. Zbinden, H. Bechmann-Pasquinucci, N. Gisin, and G. Ribordy, "Quantum cryptography.," *Applied Physics B: Lasers & Optics*, vol. 67, no. 6, 1998.
- [44] V. Scarani, H. Bechmann-Pasquinucci, N. J. Cerf, M. Dušek, N. Lütkenhaus, and M. Peev, "The security of practical quantum key distribution," *Reviews of modern physics*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 1301–1350, 2009.
- [45] J. Yang, Z. Jiang, F. Benthin, J. Hanel, T. Fandrich, R. Joos, S. Bauer, S. Kolatschek, A. Hreibi, E. P. Rugeramigabo, *et al.*, "High-rate intercity quantum key distribution with a semiconductor single-photon source," *Light: Science & Applications*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 150, 2024.
- [46] J.-Q. Qin, Z.-W. Yu, and X.-B. Wang, "Efficient quantum digital signatures over long distances with likely bit strings," *Physical Review Applied*, vol. 21, no. 2, p. 024012, 2024.
- [47] H.-L. Yin, Y. Fu, and Z.-B. Chen, "Practical quantum digital signature," *Physical Review A*, vol. 93, no. 3, p. 032316, 2016.
- [48] M. Herrero-Collantes and J. C. Garcia-Escartin, "Quantum random number generators," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 89, no. 1, p. 015004, 2017.
- [49] J. Cheng, S. Liang, J. Qin, J. Li, Z. Yan, X. Jia, C. Xie, and K. Peng, "Semi-device-independent quantum random number generator with a broadband squeezed state of light," *npj Quantum Information*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 20, 2024.
- [50] S. Maity, A. Prosad, H. Natarajan, V. Balaswamy, and V. Raghunathan, "Comparative study of ase-ase and ase-laser based quantum random number generators," *IEEE Photonics Journal*, 2024.
- [51] M. Hillery, V. Bužek, and A. Berthiaume, "Quantum secret sharing," *Physical Review A*, vol. 59, no. 3, p. 1829, 1999.
- [52] K. Senthooor and P. K. Sarvepalli, "Communication efficient quantum secret sharing via extended css codes," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2024.
- [53] P. Singh and I. Chakrabarty, "Controlled state reconstruction and quantum secret sharing," *Physical Review A*, vol. 109, no. 3, p. 032406, 2024.
- [54] C. Wang and A. Rahman, "Quantum-enabled 6g wireless networks: Opportunities and challenges," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 58–69, 2022.
- [55] H. Urgelles, S. Maheshwari, S. S. Nande, R. Bassoli, F. H. Fitzek, and J. F. Monserrat, "In-network quantum computing for future 6g networks," *Advanced Quantum Technologies*, p. 2300334, 2024.
- [56] M. A. Akbar, A. A. Khan, and S. Hyrynsalmi, "Role of quantum computing in shaping the future of 6 g technology," *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 170, p. 107454, 2024.
- [57] S. Rafi, M. A. Akbar, and S. Mahmood, "A conceptual framework for quantum integration challenges in 6g technology," in *Proceedings of the 1st ACM International Workshop on Quantum Software Engineering: The Next Evolution*, pp. 19–26, 2024.
- [58] H. T. Nguyen, P. Krishnan, D. Krishnaswamy, M. Usman, and R. Buyya, "Quantum cloud computing: A review, open problems, and future directions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11420*, 2024.
- [59] IBM Quantum, "Qiskit Serverless," 2024.
- [60] H. T. Nguyen, M. Usman, and R. Buyya, "Qfaas: A serverless function-as-a-service framework for quantum computing," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 154, p. 281–300, May 2024.
- [61] I. Faro, I. Sitdikov, D. G. Valiñas, F. J. M. Fernandez, C. Codella, and J. Glick, "Middleware for quantum: An orchestration of hybrid quantum-classical systems," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Quantum Software (QSW)*, pp. 1–8, 2023.
- [62] M. Mehic, M. Niemiec, S. Rass, J. Ma, M. Peev, A. Aguado, V. Martin, S. Schauer, A. Poppe, C. Pacher, and M. Voznak, "Quantum key distribution: A networking perspective," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 53, sep 2020.
- [63] H. T. Nguyen, M. Usman, and R. Buyya, "iQuantum: A toolkit for modeling and simulation of quantum computing environments," *Software: Practice and Experience*, pp. 1–31, 2024.
- [64] X. Zhang, H.-O. Li, G. Cao, M. Xiao, G.-C. Guo, and G.-P. Guo, "Semiconductor quantum computation," *National Science Review*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 32–54, 2019.
- [65] H. Riel, "Quantum computing technology," in *2021 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)*, pp. 1–3, IEEE, 2021.
- [66] T. Subramanian, A. Dhyani, *et al.*, *Artificial Intelligence, machine learning and blockchain in quantum satellite, drone and network*. CRC Press, 2022.
- [67] M. Kamal and J. G. C. Ramirez, "Extensible quantum computing architectures with van der waals heterostructures," *Quarterly Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovations*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2024.
- [68] M. M. Hasan, M. M. Rahman, M. M. Ali, and P. Machado, "Quantotrace: Quantum error correction as a service for robust quantum computing," in *2024 6th International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Information & Communication Technology (ICEEICT)*, pp. 616–621, IEEE, 2024.
- [69] Google-Quantum-AI, "Suppressing quantum errors by scaling a surface code logical qubit," *Nature*, vol. 614, no. 7949, pp. 676–681, 2023.
- [70] J. Berberich, D. Fink, and C. Holm, "Robustness of quantum algorithms against coherent control errors," *Physical Review A*, vol. 109, no. 1, p. 012417, 2024.
- [71] E. Knill, "Quantum computing with realistically noisy devices," *Nature*, vol. 434, no. 7029, pp. 39–44, 2005.

- [72] V. Sood and R. P. Chauhan, "Archives of quantum computing: research progress and challenges," *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 73–91, 2024.
- [73] J. Jäger and R. V. Krems, "Universal expressiveness of variational quantum classifiers and quantum kernels for support vector machines," *Nature Communications*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 576, 2023.
- [74] N. Schuch and F. Verstraete, "Computational complexity of interacting electrons and fundamental limitations of density functional theory," *Nature physics*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 732–735, 2009.
- [75] H. Wang and L.-A. Wu, "Ultrafast adiabatic quantum algorithm for the np-complete exact cover problem," *Scientific reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 22307, 2016.
- [76] T. Aoki, G. Takahashi, T. Kajiya, J.-i. Yoshikawa, S. L. Braunstein, P. Van Loock, and A. Furusawa, "Quantum error correction beyond qubits," *Nature Physics*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 541–546, 2009.
- [77] A. Baiardi, M. Christandl, and M. Reiher, "Quantum computing for molecular biology," *ChemBioChem*, vol. 24, no. 13, p. e202300120, 2023.
- [78] M. Coccia, S. Roshani, and M. Mosleh, "Evolution of quantum computing: Theoretical and innovation management implications for emerging quantum industry," *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, vol. 71, pp. 2270–2280, 2022.
- [79] E. Haven and A. Khrennikov, *The Palgrave handbook of quantum models in social science: Applications and grand challenges*. Springer, 2017.
- [80] B. P. Lanyon, J. D. Whitfield, G. G. Gillett, M. E. Goggin, M. P. Almeida, I. Kassal, J. D. Biamonte, M. Mohseni, B. J. Powell, M. Barbieri, et al., "Towards quantum chemistry on a quantum computer," *Nature chemistry*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 106–111, 2010.
- [81] J. Liu, M. Liu, J.-P. Liu, Z. Ye, Y. Wang, Y. Alexeev, J. Eisert, and L. Jiang, "Towards provably efficient quantum algorithms for large-scale machine-learning models," *Nature Communications*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 434, 2024.
- [82] H. Fürntratt, P. Schnabl, F. Krebs, R. Unterberger, and H. Zeiner, "Towards higher abstraction levels in quantum computing," in *International Conference on Service-Oriented Computing*, pp. 162–173, Springer, 2023.
- [83] J. Davids, N. Lidströmer, and H. Ashrafiyan, "Artificial intelligence in medicine using quantum computing in the future of healthcare," in *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, pp. 423–446, Springer, 2022.
- [84] L. Aggarwal, S. Sachdeva, and P. Goswami, "Quantum healthcare computing using precision based granular approach," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 144, p. 110458, 2023.
- [85] U. Ullah and B. Garcia-Zapirain, "Quantum machine learning revolution in healthcare: a systematic review of emerging perspectives and applications," *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [86] G. Buonaiuto, F. Gargiulo, G. De Pietro, M. Esposito, and M. Pota, "Best practices for portfolio optimization by quantum computing, experimented on real quantum devices," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 19434, 2023.
- [87] M. Cerezo, K. Sharma, A. Arrasmith, and P. J. Coles, "Variational quantum state eigensolver," *npj Quantum Information*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 113, 2022.
- [88] D. Grinko, J. Gacon, C. Zoufal, and S. Woerner, "Iterative quantum amplitude estimation," *npj Quantum Information*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 52, 2021.
- [89] B. Claudiu, E. Cosmin, D. Otniel, and A. Andrei, "Enhancing the financial sector with quantum computing: A comprehensive review of current and future applications," in *International Conference on Informatics in Economy*, pp. 195–203, Springer, 2023.
- [90] P. Reberstrost and S. Lloyd, "Quantum computational finance: quantum algorithm for portfolio optimization," *KI-Künstliche Intelligenz*, pp. 1–12, 2024.
- [91] Y.-J. Chang, W.-T. Wang, H.-Y. Chen, S.-W. Liao, and C.-R. Chang, "A novel approach for quantum financial simulation and quantum state preparation," *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 24, 2024.
- [92] V. Vorobyov, S. Zaiser, N. Abt, J. Meinel, D. Dasari, P. Neumann, and J. Wrachtrup, "Quantum fourier transform for nanoscale quantum sensing," *npj Quantum Information*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 124, 2021.
- [93] R. Herrman, P. C. Lotshaw, J. Ostrowski, T. S. Humble, and G. Siopsis, "Multi-angle quantum approximate optimization algorithm," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 6781, 2022.
- [94] M. Sahimi and P. Tahmasebi, "The potential of quantum computing for geoscience," *Transport in Porous Media*, vol. 145, no. 2, pp. 367–387, 2022.
- [95] M. Dukalski, D. Rovetta, S. van der Linde, M. Möller, N. Neumann, and F. Phillipson, "Quantum computer-assisted global optimization in geophysics illustrated with stack-power maximization for refraction residual statics estimation," *Geophysics*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. V75–V91, 2023.
- [96] Y. Nomura, "Quantum mechanics, gravity, and the multiverse," *Astronomical Review*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 36–52, 2012.
- [97] A. Drezet, "An elementary proof that everett's quantum multiverse is nonlocal: Bell-locality and branch-symmetry in the many-worlds interpretation," *Symmetry*, vol. 15, no. 6, p. 1250, 2023.
- [98] H. P. Stapp, "Quantum theory and the role of mind in nature," *Foundations of Physics*, vol. 31, pp. 1465–1499, 2001.
- [99] S. Saad, A. Giri, M. Ganiyu, D. Nizovsky, D. Owens, and M. Lalrindiki, "Developing workforce in quantum industry: The wond'ry quantum studio," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Quantum Computing and Engineering (QCE)*, vol. 2, pp. 361–362, IEEE, 2023.
- [100] M. Coccia, "Technological trajectories in quantum computing to design a quantum ecosystem for industrial change," *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1733–1748, 2024.
- [101] F. Greinert, R. Müller, P. Bitzenbauer, M. S. Ubben, and K.-A. Weber, "Future quantum workforce: Competences, requirements, and forecasts," *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 010137, 2023.
- [102] S. Vishwakarma, D. Shalini, S. Ganguly, and S. N. Morapakula, "A universal quantum technology education program," in *Future of Information and Communication Conference*, pp. 461–470, Springer, 2024.
- [103] L. M. Possati, "Ethics of quantum computing: An outline," *Philosophy & Technology*, vol. 36, no. 3, p. 48, 2023.

- [104] J. É. Arrow, S. E. Marsh, and J. C. Meyer, “A holistic approach to quantum ethics education: The quantum ethics project quantumethicsproject.org,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Quantum Computing and Engineering (QCE)*, vol. 3, pp. 119–128, IEEE, 2023.
- [105] I. Martínez-Martínez and E. Sánchez-Burillo, “Quantum stochastic walks on networks for decision-making,” *Scientific reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 23812, 2016.
- [106] S. Umbrello, “Quantum technologies in industry 4.0: Navigating the ethical frontier with value-sensitive design,” *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 232, pp. 1654–1662, 2024.
- [107] J. J. Stephenson, M. R. Campbell, J. E. Hess, C. Kozfkay, A. P. Matala, M. V. McPhee, P. Moran, S. R. Narum, M. M. Paquin, O. Schlei, *et al.*, “A centralized model for creating shared, standardized, microsatellite data that simplifies inter-laboratory collaboration,” *Conservation Genetics*, vol. 10, pp. 1145–1149, 2009.
- [108] D. Joseph, R. Misoczki, M. Manzano, J. Tricot, F. D. Pinuaga, O. Lacombe, S. Leichenauer, J. Hidary, P. Venables, and R. Hansen, “Transitioning organizations to post-quantum cryptography,” *Nature*, vol. 605, no. 7909, pp. 237–243, 2022.
- [109] B. Langenberg, H. Pham, and R. Steinwandt, “Reducing the cost of implementing the advanced encryption standard as a quantum circuit,” *IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering*, vol. 1, pp. 1–12, 2020.
- [110] K. Wright, K. M. Beck, S. Debnath, J. Amini, Y. Nam, N. Grzesiak, J.-S. Chen, N. Pimenti, M. Chmielewski, C. Collins, *et al.*, “Benchmarking an 11-qubit quantum computer,” *Nature communications*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 5464, 2019.
- [111] A. Purohit, M. Kaur, Z. C. Seskir, M. T. Posner, and A. Venegas-Gomez, “Building a quantum-ready ecosystem,” *IET Quantum Communication*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2024.
- [112] M. Aljaafari, “Quantum computing for social business optimization: a practitioner’s perspective,” *Soft Computing*, pp. 1–23, 2023.